

# **CORRELATION OF STATIC AND PEAK DYNAMIC COULOMB FAILURE STRESS WITH MAINSHOCKS, AFTERSHOCKS, SEISMICITY RATE CHANGE, AND TRIGGERED SLIP IN THE SALTON TROUGH**

Jeff Eddo

Numerous studies have found good correlation of static Coulomb failure stress ( $\Delta\text{CFS}$ ) from large earthquakes with the occurrence of aftershocks and other earthquakes later in time. However, reasons for a less than perfect correlation includes the observation that aftershocks often occur in the  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  shadow zones, and remote triggering of earthquakes is difficult to explain from relatively small  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  values. Recently, complete or dynamic Coulomb failure stress, parameterized by its largest positive value (peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$ ), has been proposed as an alternative triggering mechanism (Kilb, 2002). In order to quantify the ability of the  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  and peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  distributions for large earthquakes to trigger other large earthquakes, aftershocks, and aseismic slip, we have modeled  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  and peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  for four recent historical earthquakes in the Salton Trough area of the Imperial Valley, California (1968 M6.7 Borrego Mountain, 1979 M6.6 Imperial Valley, 1987 M6.6 Elmore Ranch, and M6.5 Superstition Hills), using a finite-difference method. A cross-correlation is calculated between the modeled stresses and seismicity rate change in terms of the Z-value (Habermann, 1983). Modeling results show that peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  provides significantly better correlation with later mainshocks, aftershocks, seismicity rate change, and triggered slip than  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  for all four events. On average, peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  fits the seismicity rate change 26% better than  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  for time periods up to a month after the mainshocks, and peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  correlates with aftershocks significantly better than  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  up to two years after the mainshock events. Our results for the Salton Trough suggest that peak  $\Delta\text{CFS}(t)$  may be a more robust and sensitive parameter for earthquake triggering estimation, as compared to  $\Delta\text{CFS}$  calculations.